

RESOLUTION NO. 2518

RESOLUTION GIVING NOTICE OF PROPOSED ANNEXATION TO  
THE CITY OF LODI OF UNINHABITED TERRITORY DESCRIBED  
HEREIN AND DESIGNATED "NORTH LOMA DRIVE ADDITION"  
AND GIVING NOTICE OF TIME AND PLACE FOR HEARING  
OF PROTESTS THERETO

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI:

1. That, pursuant to the provisions of the Annexation of Uninhabited Territory Act of 1939, a petition signed by owners of not less than one-fourth of the land in the hereinafter described territory, by area and by assessed value as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of San Joaquin, was filed with the Council of the City of Lodi on March 7, 1962, requesting annexation of the hereinafter described territory to said City.

2. That the territory which the petitioners request be annexed to the City of Lodi and which said City and its Council propose to annex, is situate in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, hereby designated "North Loma Drive Addition," and described as follows:

A portion of the Northwest Quarter of Section 2, Township 3 North, Range 6 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, San Joaquin County, California, and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 25, Gerard Tract, as filed for record on August 8, 1916 in Volume 8, page 62, San Joaquin County Records; thence Southerly 757.34 feet along the East lines of Lots 25, 28, 33 and 36, Gerard Tract, this line also a boundary line of the City of Lodi, to the North line of Lockeford Street (formerly Lockaford Avenue); thence Westerly along the North line of

Lockeford Street, also a City Limit Line, 442.8 feet to the centerline of Loma Drive (also formerly Lockeford Avenue); thence Northerly along the centerline of Loma Drive, also a City Limit Line, 757.34 feet to the extended North line of Lot 25, Gerard Tract; thence Easterly along the North line of said Lot 25, 442.8 feet to the point of beginning; the area thus described containing 7.7 acres more or less.

3. That the County Boundary Commission of San Joaquin County, California, did in session duly assembled on Tuesday, January 9, 1962, consider and approve the proposed annexation boundaries of said "North Loma Drive Addition," as above described, and as submitted to said Commission by the proponents of said annexation.

4. That Tuesday, April 17, 1962, at the hour of 8 p.m., in the Council Chambers in the City Hall in the City of Lodi, County of San Joaquin, California, is hereby fixed as the time and place when and where any person owning real property within the uninhabited territory above described and proposed to be annexed to the City of Lodi, and having any objections to the proposed annexation, may appear before the Council of the City of Lodi and show cause why such uninhabited territory should not be so annexed to the City of Lodi. The protest must be in writing, may be filed at any time before the hour set for hearing objections to the proposed annexation, and shall state the name or names of the owner or owners of property affected and the description and area of such property, in general terms.

5. The City Clerk of the city of Lodi is hereby authorized and directed to cause a copy of this resolution to be published at least twice, but not oftener than once a week, in the Lodi News-Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City of Lodi, the city to which it is proposed to annex the aforesaid territory, and also in the Stockton Record, a newspaper of general

circulation published outside the City of Lodi but in the County of ~~San Joaquin~~, California, the county ~~in~~ which ~~is~~ located the territory proposed to be annexed to the City of ~~Lodi~~; said publication to be complete at least twenty days prior to the date set for hearing.

6. The said City Clerk ~~is~~ further ~~authorized~~ and directed to ~~cause~~ written notice of such proposed annexation to be mailed to each person to ~~whom~~ land within the territory proposed to be annexed was assessed ~~in~~ the ~~last~~ equalized county assessment roll available on the date the above said proceedings were initiated, at the addresses ~~shown on~~ said assessment roll ~~or~~ known to said Clerk, and to any person who has filed his ~~name~~ and address and the designation of the lands ~~in~~ which he has an interest, either legal ~~or~~ equitable, with said Clerk, such notice to be given not less than twenty days before the first public hearing ~~on the proposed annexation.~~

7. ~~In~~ the event there ~~is~~, upon the land proposed to be ~~annexed~~ to said City, a structural improvement owned, being acquired ~~or~~ leased by a county fire protection district, the City Clerk ~~is~~ directed to cause written notice of such proposed annexation to be mailed to the governing body of such district, such notice to be sent not less than ten days before the first public hearing upon such proposed annexation.

8. The City Clerk ~~Is~~ directed to cause written notice to be given to such other persons as may be legally entitled thereto, in the manner required by law.

ADOPTED THIS 7TH DAY OF MARCH, 1962, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmen - BROWN, KATZAKIMN, MITCHELL, ULLMA"  
and CULBERTSON

NOES: Councilmen - NONE

ABSENT: Councilmen - NONE

  
BEATRICE GARIBALDI  
City Clerk